UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,369	09/14/2005	Pim Theo Tuyls	NL030238	3473
24737 7590 07/20/2009 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			EXAMINER	
			WILLIS, RANDAL L	
BRIARCLIFF	BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/20/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/549,369	TUYLS ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	RANDAL WILLIS	2629		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 S This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the second seco	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7-10 and 12 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 14 September 2005 is/Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er. fare: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•	, ,		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/14/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to application 10/549,369 filed September 14th
 Claims 1-12 are currently pending and have been examined.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

 The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 9/14/2005 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6 and 11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stearns (5,917,464).

Apropos claim 1, Stearns teaches:

A trusted display device (LCD B, Fig. 1b) for, upon being superimposed on an untrusted display (document to be read Col 4 lines 39-43), reconstructing a graphical message (Col 5 lines 17-26), said device comprising a display screen (LCD B, Fig. 1b) having a plurality of independently addressable pixels, and a plurality of sensors (sensor array C, Fig. 1b) associated with at least a subset of the pixels of said display screen (Col 4 lines 1-4) and arranged such that they, when the displays are superimposed, are able to detect optically encoded information presented by an underlying pixel of the untrusted display (Col 4 lines 50-59, when scanning a document the sensor array and LCD are synchronized so that the LCD updates the image in the same time the sensors read the information).

Apropos claim 2, Stearns teaches:

The display device of claim 1, wherein said subset of pixels of said display screen comprises all pixels of said display screen (Col 4 lines 1-4, Col 6 lines 7-16).

Apropos claim 3, Stearns teaches:

The display device of claim 1, wherein said display device further comprise at least one sensor associated with each pixel of said display screen (Col 4 lines 1-4).

Apropos claim 4, Stearns teaches:

The display device of claim 1, wherein the optically encoded information is in the form of time or amplitude modulated light intensity (Col 10 lines lines 9-13, photodiodes detect intensity of scanned image to control LCD display).

Apropos claim 5, Stearns teaches:

The display device of claim 1, wherein said display device (1) further comprises means for adapting the activation of its pixels based on said information sensed (Col 10 lines lines 9-13, photodiodes detect intensity of scanned image to control LCD display).

Apropos claim 6, Stearns teaches:

The display device of claim 1, wherein said display screen is a liquid crystal display screen (LCD B, Fig. 1b) a first side of which comprise said sensors (Sensor array C, Fig. 1b) and a second side of which is essentially covered by a first polarization filter (26, Fig. 1b) and said display device further is arranged to receive upon said sensors incident light of a first polarization direction (light Flux passes through polarization filter 26 before striking the sensor array C, Fig. 1b).

Apropos claim 11, Stearns teaches:

A method for reconstructing a graphical message on a display screen of a trusted display device said display screen having a plurality of independently addressable pixels and sensors, said method comprising the steps of:

superimposing said display screen of said trusted display device on an untrusted display (Col 4 lines 36-42);

sensing information presented by an underlying pixel of the untrusted display using said sensors (Col 4 lines 50-59, when scanning a document the sensor array and LCD are synchronized so that the LCD updates the image in the same time the sensors read the information);

adapting the activation of the pixels of said display screen of said trusted display device based on said information sensed (Col 4 lines 50-59, when scanning a document the sensor array and LCD are synchronized so that the LCD updates the image in the same time the sensors read the information).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-10 and 12 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RANDAL WILLIS whose telephone number is (571)270-

Application/Control Number: 10/549,369 Page 6

Art Unit: 2629

1461. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday, 8am to 5pm (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amr Awad can be reached on 571-272-7764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RLW

/Amr Awad/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629